

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/735,551	12/14/2000	Satoshi Kidooka	P20361	6566		
7055	7590 02/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			LAM, ANN Y			
RESTON, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1641			

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/735,551		KIDOOKA, SATOSHI			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Ann Y. Lam		1641			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MAILING D - Extensions of time mafter SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 and the mailing date of this communication. The specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event y within the statuto will apply and will e , cause the applica	, however, may a reply be time ry minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t stion to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely he mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status			•				
2a)⊠ This action 3)□ Since this	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 November 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1,3-5 and 29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-5 and 29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers	;						
10)∭ The drawin Applicant m Replaceme	cation is objected to by the Examine ag(s) filed on is/are: a) acception and not request that any objection to the contraction of the correction declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) drawing(s) be tion is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF			
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119	•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	_) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai) Notice of Informal Pa) Other:)-152)		

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-5 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu, Japanese Utility Model Publication No. Hei-7-51066, in view of Nita et al., 5,916,192

Shimizu discloses the invention substantially as claimed. More specifically, Shimizu discloses an endoscope comprising:

a treatment instrument channel (i.e., endoscope, page 1); an endoscopic spraying instrument (4) comprising:

a liquid supplying tube (7) configured to pass liquid therethrough and to be removably inserted into the treatment instrument channel (endoscopes, page 1);

a rotatingly guiding groove (8) disposed at a leading end side of the supplying tube and configured to rotate the liquid about a central axis;

a liquid rotating chamber (10) disposed at a leading end side of the rotatingly guiding groove, the liquid rotating chamber configured to rotate the liquid therein;

Art Unit: 1641

a spray nozzle (distal end portion of 10) formed in a leading end wall of the liquid rotating chamber and configured to discharge the liquid from the liquid rotating chamber; and

an annular, protruded wall (5a) spaced outwardly from an outer periphery of the spray nozzle, the wall protruded forwardly from and surrounding an exit of the spray nozzle.

As to claim 3, a wall surface (distal end portion of 5a) extending between the outer periphery of the spray nozzle and the annular, protruded wall (proximal end portion of 5a) is defined by a planar surface perpendicular to an axis of the spray nozzle. (Examiner notes that Applicant has not specified in claim 3 as to which axis Applicant is referring, e.g., longitudinal axis, etc.)

As to claim 4, a wall surface of the annular, protruded wall (5a) is parallel to an axis of the spray nozzle (see page 6, last paragraph, and figure 2.)

(Examiner notes that Applicant has not specified in claim 4 as to which axis Applicant is referring, e.g., longitudinal axis, etc.)

As to claim 5, a wall surface of the annular, protruded wall (5a and 5b) is defined by a forwardly spread surface or a forwardly constricted surface (see page 6, last paragraph, and figure 2.)

As to claim 29, the liquid supply tube (7) is substantially coaxial with the spray nozzle (10), (see figure 2.)

However, as to claim 1, lines 14-15, Shimizu does not disclose a wall surface extending between the outer periphery of the spray nozzle and the annular, protruded wall defined by one of a tapered surface and a curved,

Art Unit: 1641

concave surface. Shimizu discloses a similar wall that is tapered and concave (5b), but it is not curved.

Nita et al. discloses a catheter comprising a tube wherein the tube has at its distal end, a wall defined by a tapered, curved and concave surface (see concave indentation 34), wherein irrigation fluid may be infused through the tube and out of the distal tip member (col. 3, lines 1-6).

It would have been obvious matter of design choice to modify the Shimizu reference by having the tapered concave surface be curved, as taught by Nita et al., since Applicant has not disclosed that this configuration solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the taper disclosed by Shimizu would perform equally well. Furthermore, such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size or shape of a component. A change in size or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the above rejected claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.

Art Unit: 1641

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ann Y. Lam whose telephone number is 571-272-0822. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Sat 11-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1641

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHRISTOPHER L. CHIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1800-/64/ 2/6/65